1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6	6		
7	7 ONITA TUGGLES,	Case No. C08-01914 JCS	
8	8 Plaintiff(s),	ORDER DENYING LETTER BRIEF RE: PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL DEPOSITIONS [Docket No. 105]	
9	9 v.		
10	0 CITY OF ANTIOCH, ET AL.,		
11	1 Defendant(s).		
12	2		
13	On August 20, 2009, Plaintiff filed a Letter Brief Re: Plaintiff's Request for Additional		
14	4 Depositions (the "Motion").	Depositions (the "Motion").	
15	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court finds that the Motion is appropriate for		
16	decision without oral argument.		
17	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion is DENIED. Plaintiff has not shown good cause		
18	for taking the additional depositions.		
19	9 IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	0		
21	1 Dated: August 25, 2009	1/1/	
22	$\frac{1}{100}$	SEPH C. SPERO	
23	3 Uni	ted States Magistrate Judge	
24	4		
25	5		
26	6		
27	7		
28	8		